arXiv:1401.7708v1 [math.GR] 30 Jan 2014

ON THE NON-AMENABILITY OF THE REFLECTIVE QUOTIENT I: THE RATIONAL CASE

CHEN MEIRI

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathrm{O}(f,\mathbb{Z})$ be the integral orthogonal group of an integral quadratic form f of signature $(n,1)$. Let $\mathrm{R}(f,\mathbb{Z})$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(f,\mathbb{Z})$ generated by all hyperbolic reflections. Vinberg [Vi1, Vi3] proved that if $n \geq 30$ then the reflective quotient $\mathrm{O}(f,\mathbb{Z})/\mathrm{R}(f,\mathbb{Z})$ is infinite. In this note we generalize Vinberg's theorem and prove that if $n \geq 92$ then $\mathrm{O}(f,\mathbb{Z})/\mathrm{R}(f,\mathbb{Z})$ contains a non-abelian free group (and thus it is not amenable).

1. INTRODUCTION

Let f be an integral quadratic form of signature $(n,1)$. The integral orthogonal group $\mathrm{O}(f,\mathbb{Z})$ has an index two subgroup $\mathrm{O}^+(f,\mathbb{Z})$ which is a discrete group of motions of the n-dimensional hyperbolic space. The reflection subgroup $\mathrm{R}(f,\mathbb{Z})$ is the subgroup generated by all hyperbolic reflections in $\mathrm{O}^+(f,\mathbb{Z})$. Since a conjugate of a hyperbolic reflection is also a hyperbolic reflection, $\mathrm{R}(f,\mathbb{Z})$ is a normal subgroup of of $\mathrm{O}(f,\mathbb{Z})$. The reflection subgroup $\mathrm{R}(f,\mathbb{Z})$ and reflective quotient $\mathrm{O}(f,\mathbb{Z})/\mathrm{R}(f,\mathbb{Z})$ play an important role in many geometric situations (see [Do] and the reference therein). However, the exact structure of $\mathrm{O}(f,\mathbb{Z})/\mathrm{R}(f,\mathbb{Z})$ is known only for some quadratic forms in relatively small dimensions. Let f_n be the unique odd unimodular quadratic form of signature $(n,1)$. Vinberg [Vi2] found the structure of $\mathrm{O}(f_n,\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathrm{O}(f_n,\mathbb{Z})/\mathrm{R}(f_n,\mathbb{Z})$ for for $n \leq 17$. This result was extended by Vinberg and Kaplinskaya to $n \leq 19$. In all these cases the reflective quotient is finite. Conway [Co] proved that if q is the unique even unimodular quadratic form of signature $(25,1)$ then $\mathrm{O}^+(q,\mathbb{Z})/\mathrm{R}(q,\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to the isometry group of the Leech lattice. Conway's result is an example where the reflective quotient is infinite but amenable. Borcherds [Bo2] found the structure of $\mathrm{O}(f_n,\mathbb{Z})/\mathrm{R}(f_n,\mathbb{Z})$ for $20 \leq n \leq 24$, in these case the reflective quotient is infinite and isomorphic to a

direct limit of finite groups. There are several other works which deals with other quadratic form of relatively small dimensions.

When n is large, the exact structure of the reflective quotient is not known and the results are more qualitative. Vinberg's theorem [Vi1, Vi2] implies that if $n \geq 30$ then the reflective quotient $\mathrm{O}(f,\mathbb{Z})/\mathrm{R}(f,\mathbb{Z})$ is infinite for any f. A stronger theorem with respect to odd unimodular quadratic forms f_n was proven by Borcherds [Bo2]; When $n \geq 25$ is congruent to 2,3 or 6 modulo 8 then the reflective quotient $\mathrm{O}(f_n,\mathbb{Z})/\mathrm{O}(f_n,\mathbb{Z})$ is a non-trivial amalgamated free product (not necessarily of finite groups). The main theorem of this note is:

Theorem 1. *Let $L \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^{n+1}$ be a lattice and let f be a quadratic form of signature $(n,1)$ which takes integral values on L. If $n \geq 92$ then the reflective quotient $\mathrm{O}(f,L)/\mathrm{R}(f,L)$ contains a non-abelian free subgroup.*

By combining Theorem 1 with the results of [Br] we get:

Corollary 2. *Let $n \geq 92$ and let f be a classically integral quadratic form of signature $(n,1)$. Let $\mathbb{H}^n$ be the n-dimensional hyperbolic space and let Γ be a finite index subgroup of $\mathrm{R}(f,\mathbb{Z})$. Then the bottom of the L^2-spectrum of the Riemann manifold $\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}^n$ is positive.*

The following proposition is a key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.

Proposition 3. *There exist three non-equivalent even integral positive definite quadratic forms of discriminant 2 and dimension 41 which do no represent the number 2.*

Remark. If $k \leq 3$ then there do not exist three non-equivalent even integral positive definite quadratic forms of discriminant 2 and dimension $8k+1$ which do not represent 2. Such forms probably exist for $k = 4$ and then the bound 92 in Theorem 1 could be improved to 76.

Remark. In a paper in preparation we extend the results of this note to general arithmetic lattices in $\mathrm{O}(n,1)$ for large n, which come from quadratic forms over

number fields, including the case of anisotropic forms.

Acknowledgment. The author is thankful to Peter Sarank for asking him if the reflective quotient is always non-amenable in large enough dimensions and for many helpful discussions.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

Preliminaries. Let $L \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^n$ be a lattice and let f be a quadratic form of signature $(n,1)$ which is defined on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and takes integral values on L. For every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ define $(x,y) := \frac{1}{4}(f(x+y)-f(x-y))$. The form $(\cdot,\cdot)$ is bilinear on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. By replacing f with $2f$ is necessary, we can assume that f is classically integral on L. (Classically integral means that (v,u) is an integer for every $v, u \in L$.)

Let k be a positive integer. Every one of the connected components of the hyperboloid $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid f(x) = -k\}$ is a model for the n-dimensional hyperbolic space. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be one of these components. Let $\mathrm{O}^+(f,L)$ the subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(f,L)$ preserving $\mathcal{H}$. The group $\mathrm{O}^+(f,L)$ has index two in $\mathrm{O}(f,L)$ and every one of its elements acts as a hyperbolic isometry of $\mathcal{H}$. An element $v \in L$ is called primitive if $\frac{1}{m}v$ does not belong to L for every $m \geq 2$. An element $v \in L$ is called isotropic if $f(v) = 0$. A non-isotropic primitive element $v \in L$ is called a root if the linear map $r_v : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ defined by

$$r_v(x) = x - \frac{2(v,x)}{f(v)}v \tag{1}$$

preserves L. Since every root v is a primitive element, the requirement that r_v preserves L is equivalent to the requirement that for every $u \in L$, the number $\frac{2(v,u)}{f(v)}$ is an integer. A root v is called positive or negative depending on whether $f(v) > 0$ or $f(v) < 0$. Let $\mathcal{R}^+(f,L)$ and $\mathcal{R}^-(f,L)$ be the set of positive roots and the set of negative roots respectively. If v is a positive root of L then r_v belongs to $\mathrm{O}^+(f,L)$ and it is a hyperbolic reflection in the hyperbolic hyperplane $H_v := \{x \in \mathcal{H} \mid (v,x) = 0\}$. However, if v is a negative root then r_v belongs to $\mathrm{O}(f,L)$ but not to $\mathrm{O}^+(f,L)$. In this case, the map $c_v := -r_v$ belongs to $\mathrm{O}^+(f,L)$ and it is a Cartan involution whose

base point u is the intersection of the linear subspace $\{\alpha v \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $\mathcal{H}$. Thus, c_v fixes u and reverses the geodesic passing through u.

Let D° be a connected component of $\mathcal{H} \setminus \cup_{v \in \mathcal{R}^+(f,L)} H_v$. The closure D of D° is a fundamental domain for $\mathrm{R}(f,L)$ and D° is the interior of D. Every $g \in \mathrm{O}^+(f,L)$ permutes the positive roots and thus also the connected components of $\mathcal{H} \setminus \cup_{v \in \mathcal{R}^+(f,L)} H_v$. It follows that $\mathrm{O}^+(f,L)$ is the semidirect product

$$\mathrm{O}^+(f,L) = \mathrm{R}(f,L) \rtimes \mathrm{Stab}(D^\circ) \tag{2}$$

where $\mathrm{Stab}(D^\circ)$ is the stabilizer of D° under the action of $\mathrm{O}^+(f,L)$ on the components. The group $\mathrm{Stab}(D)$ is naturally isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ where $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ is the symmetry group of the polyhedron D.

Step A: We start with the special case $L = \mathbb{Z}^{42}$ and $f = q$ where q is the unique (up to equivalence) even unimodular quadratic form of signature $(41,1)$. We choose $\mathcal{H} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{42} \mid q(x) = -2\}$ as a model for the 41-dimensional hyperbolic space. Since q is even and unimodular, an element $v \in L$ is a positive root if and only if $q(v) = 2$. Similarly, an element $v \in L$ is a negative root if and only if $q(v) = -2$. Thus, if v is a negative root then either v or $-v$ is the base point of $-r_v$. For every element $v \in \mathbb{Z}^{42}$ let $\mathcal{O}(v)$ be its orbit under $\mathrm{O}^+(q,L)$.

Lemma 4. *If $v, u, w \in D^\circ$ are negative roots and $\mathcal{O}(v)$, $\mathcal{O}(u)$ and $\mathcal{O}(w)$ are distinct then the group generated by the Cartan involutions c_v, c_u and c_v contains a non-abelian free group. In particular, if such v, u and w exist then $\mathrm{O}(q,L)/\mathrm{R}(q,L)$ contains a free group.*

Proof. We start by showing that any geodesic in $\mathcal{H}$ intersects non-trivially at most 2 orbits of negative roots. Let l be a geodesic which contains at least two negative roots. Choose two negative roots v_1 and v_2 on l with minimal distance. The negative root $v_3 := c_{v_1}(v_2)$ belongs to l and v_1 is between v_2 and v_3. The Cartan involution c_v fixes v and inverses the geodesics in v so $\mathrm{dist}(v_1,v_2) = \mathrm{dist}(v_1,v_3) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{dist}(v_2,v_3)$. The map $g := c_{v_1}c_{v_2}$ is a hyperbolic translation along l with translation length

$\mathrm{dist}(v_2, v_3)$. If v_4 is any negative root on l then there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $g^m(v_4)$ belongs to the geodesic segment $[v_2, v_3] \subseteq l$. Since $\mathrm{dist}(v_1, v_2)$ is minimal, $g^m(v_4)$ equals to v_1 or v_2 or v_3. Thus, v_4 belongs to $\mathcal{O}(v_1)$ or $\mathcal{O}(v_2)$. Hence, it is not possible that v, u and w are contained in the same geodesic.

Let l_1 be the geodesic containing v and u and let l_2 be the geodesic containing v and w. As before, $g_1 := c_v c_u$ and $g_2 := c_v c_w$ are hyperbolic translations along l_1 and l_2 with translation lengths $2\,\mathrm{dist}(v, u)$ and $2\,\mathrm{dist}(v, w)$. Since l_1 and l_2 do not meet on the boundary of $\mathcal{H}$ there exists an m such that g_1^m and g_2^m generate a Schottky group which is free of rank 2.

The Cartan involutions c_v, c_u, and c_w belong to belong to the stabilizer of D° since their base points belong to D°. The last sentence of the Lemma follows from Equation (2). □

Every negative root v induces an even integral positive definite quadratic form of discriminant 2 on the orthogonal complement $L_v := \{u \in L \mid (v, u) = 0\}$ of v. By identifying L_v with $\mathbb{Z}^{41}$, v induces an equivalence class $Q(v)$ of even integral positive definite quadratic forms of discriminant 2 on $\mathbb{Z}^{41}$. Lemma 3.1.2 of [Bo1] implies that the correspondence $v \mapsto Q(v)$ is a bijection between orbits of negative roots and equivalence classes of even 41-dimensional positive definite quadratic forms of discriminant 2. A negative root $v \in \mathcal{H}$ does not belong to $\cup_{u \in \mathcal{R}^+(q,L)} H_u$ if and only if the quadratic forms in $Q(v)$ do not represent the number 2. The reflection group $\mathrm{R}(f, L)$ acts transitively on the connected components of $\cup_{u \in \mathcal{R}^+(q,L)} H_u$. Thus, if $v \in \mathcal{H}$ is a negative root then $\mathcal{O}(v) \cap D^\circ \neq \emptyset$ if and only if the quadratic forms in $Q(v)$ do not represent the number 2. Hence, Proposition 3 implies the existence of v, u and w which satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 4. This completes the proof that $\mathrm{O}(q, \mathbb{Z}^{42})/\mathrm{R}(q, \mathbb{Z}^{42})$ contains a non-abelian free group.

Step B: Let $L = \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ and let

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) = \alpha q(x_1, \ldots, x_{42}) \oplus t(x_{43}, \ldots, x_{n+1})$$

where t is some classically integral positive definite quadratic form and, q is as in Step A and α is some positive integer. Identify $\mathbb{R}^{42}$ with the subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ consisting

of the elements with zeros on their last $n-41$ entries. Let $\mathcal{H}^n$ be one of the connected components of $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid f(x) = -2\alpha\}$ and denote $\mathcal{H}^{41} := \mathcal{H}^n \cap \mathbb{R}^{42}$. Choose $\mathcal{H}^n$ as a model for the hyperbolic n-dimensional space and note that $\mathcal{H}^{41}$ can be identified with the 41-dimensional hyperbolic space which was considered in Step A. The group $\mathrm{O}(q, \mathbb{Z})$ can be identified with the subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(f, \mathbb{Z})$ consisting of the automorphs which act as the identity on the last $n-41$ coordinates. Under these identifications, the action of $\mathrm{O}^+(q, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathcal{H}^{41}$ is just its usual action on the 41-dimensional hyperbolic space. If $v \in \mathbb{Z}^{42}$ is a positive root of q then it is also a positive root of f. Hence, the inclusion of $\mathrm{O}(q, \mathbb{Z})$ in $\mathrm{O}(f, \mathbb{Z})$ induces a homomorphism

$$\rho : \mathrm{O}(q, \mathbb{Z})/\mathrm{R}(q, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{O}(f, \mathbb{Z})/\mathrm{R}(f, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Thus, it will be enough to show that there exists a fundamental domain $D \subseteq \mathcal{H}^n$ for $\mathrm{R}(f, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $D \cap \mathcal{H}^{41}$ is a fundamental domain for $\mathrm{R}(q, \mathbb{Z})$. Indeed, if this is true then ρ is invective and the result follows from Step A. In order to show that such fundamental domain D exists, it is enough to prove that for every $v \in \mathcal{R}^+(f, L)$ either $H_v \cap \mathcal{H}^{41} = \emptyset$ or $H_v \cap \mathcal{H}^{41} = \mathcal{H}^{41}$ or $H_v \cap \mathcal{H}^{41} = H_w$ for some $w \in \mathcal{R}^+(q, \mathbb{Z}^{42})$.

Fix $v \in \mathcal{R}^+(f, L)$ and let u be its projection into $\mathbb{R}^{42}$. If $u = 0$ then $H_v \cap \mathcal{H}^{41} = \mathcal{H}^{41}$. If $u \neq 0$ and $q(u) \leq 0$ then $H_v \cap \mathcal{H}^{41} = \emptyset$. We are left to deal with the case $q(u) > 0$. Since v is a root, for every $z \in L$

$$\frac{2(v, z)}{\alpha q(u) + t(v - u)} = \frac{2(v, z)}{f(v)} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where as before $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the bilinear form induced by f. By taking $z = u$ we get

$$\frac{2\alpha q(u)}{\alpha q(u) + t(v - u)} = \frac{2(v, u)}{f(v)} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since t is positive definite, there are only two possibilities. Either $t(v - u) = \alpha q(u)$ or $t(v - u) = 0$ (and then $v = u \in \mathbb{R}^{42}$). It follows that in both cases $\frac{2(u,z)}{\alpha q(u)}$ is integral for every $z \in \mathbb{Z}^{42}$. Hence, u is an integral multiply of some positive root $w \in \mathcal{R}^+(q, \mathbb{Z}^{42})$ and $H_v \cap \mathcal{H}^{41} = H_w$.

Step C: This step deals with the general case. The arguments closely follows the ones in Vinberg's proof [Vi3]. Let $L \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^n$ be a lattice and let f be a quadratic form

of signature $(n,1)$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ such that f is classically integral on L. Assume for the moment that there exists a lattice $\tilde{L}$ with the following properties:

- $L \subseteq \tilde{L} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^{n+1}$
- $\tilde{L}$ is preserved by $\mathrm{O}(f, L)$.
- f is classically integral on L.

The group $\mathrm{O}(f, L)$ is a finite index subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(f, \tilde{L})$. Since every root of L is also a root of $\tilde{L}$, the inclusion induces a homomorphism

$$\rho : \mathrm{O}(f, L)/\mathrm{R}(f, L) \to \mathrm{O}(f, \tilde{L})/\mathrm{R}(f, \tilde{L})$$

and the image of ρ has finite index in $\mathrm{O}(f, \tilde{L})/\mathrm{R}(f, \tilde{L})$. Thus, it is enough to prove the result for $\tilde{L}$ and $\tilde{F}$. The main idea is to find $\tilde{L}$ for which f is an orthogonal sum as in Step B.

Let

$$L^* := \{v \in \mathbb{Q}^{n+1} \mid \forall\ u \in L.\ (v,u) \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

be the adjoint lattice of L. The group L^*/L is a finite abelian group so it is a direct sum of its p-Sylow subgroups. Each p-Sylow subgroup P is a direct sum of cyclic p-groups. The sizes of the cyclic groups are uniquely determined by P and called the invariant p-factors of L. If L has an invariant p-factor p^m for some prime p and $m \geq 2$ then we can replace L with

$$\hat{L} := \{v + u \mid v \in L\ \&\ u \in pL^* \cap p^{-1}L\}.$$

The form f is still classically integral on $\hat{L}$ and $\mathrm{O}(f, L)$ preserves $\hat{L}$. In addition, $\hat{L}$ has a smaller discriminant than L so after a finite number of such replacements we will get a lattice $\tilde{L}$ with the following properties:

(i) $L \subseteq \tilde{L} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^{n+1}$ and f is classically integral on $\tilde{L}$

(ii) $\mathrm{O}(f, L)$ preserves $\tilde{L}$ and has a finite index in $\mathrm{O}(f, \tilde{L})$

(iii) For every prime p, every invariant p-factor of $\tilde{L}$ equals to 1 or p

We choose a $\mathbb{Z}$-base for $\tilde{L}$ and identify it with $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$. We regard $f = f(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1})$ as given in this base. Part (iii) implies that every form in the genus of f is properly equivalent to f (Theorem 1.5 of Chapter 11 in [Ca]). Thus, if h is a classically

integral quadratic form of signature $(m,1)$ and for every prime p there exists a p-adic integral form s_p such that f is $\mathbb{Z}_p$-equivalent to $h \oplus s_p$, then there exists an integral positive definite quadratic form s such that f is $\mathbb{Z}$-equivalent to $h \oplus s$. Part (iii) implies that for every prime p there are p-adic integral forms $s_{1,p}$ and $s_{2,p}$ whose discriminates are units such that

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) = s_{1,p}(x_1, \ldots, x_l) \oplus p s_{2,p}(x_{l+1}, \ldots, x_{n+1})$$

and the dimension of at least one of them is greater than 46 (since $n \geq 92$). If the dimension of $s_{1,p}$ is grater than 46 define $\delta_p := 0$, otherwise define $\delta_p := 1$. Let q be the unique even unimodular quadratic from of signature $(41,1)$ and define $\alpha = \prod_p p^{42\delta_p}$.

Let p be a prime and denote $\alpha_p := \alpha / p^{42\delta_p}$, α_p is a p-adic unit. Assume first that $p \neq 2$. Every p-adic quadratic form of dimension m with a unit discriminant d is $\mathbb{Z}_p$-equivalent to

$$x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{m-1}^2 + d x_m^2.$$

Since $47 \geq 42 + 1$, there exists an integral p-adic form $w_{\delta_p,p}$ such that $s_{\delta_p,p}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p$-equivalent to $\alpha_p q \oplus w_p$.

We are left to deal with the case $p = 2$. Every integral 2-adic quadratic form of dimension at least 5 is isotropic. Thus, if h is a 2-adic quadratic form with a unit discriminant and dimension at least 5, then h is equivalent to the sum $h_1 + h_2$ where h_1 has dimension 2 and is equal to either $2x_1x_2$ or to $2x_1x_2 + x_2^2$. Moreover, $2x_1x_2 + (2x_3x_4 + x_4^2)$ is equivalent to $(2x_1x_2 + x_2^2) + (2x_3x_4 + x_4^2)$. Since q is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-equivalent to the sum of 21 copies of $2x_1x_2$ and $47 = 2 \cdot 22 + 3$, a straightforward argument shows that there exists a classically integral 2-adic form w_2 such that $s_{\delta_2,2}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-equivalent to $\alpha_2 q \oplus w_2$.

Hence, for every prime p, f is $\mathbb{Z}_p$-equivalent $\alpha q \oplus t_p$ for some p-adic classically integral form t_p. Thus, f is equivalent to $\alpha q \oplus t$ for some classically integral positive definite quadratic from t and we can use Step B. This proof of Theorem 1 is now complete.

3. Proof of Proposition 3

There exist a vast literature about unimodular positive definite quadratic forms which do not represent small positive integers. Let us mention two such results. Conway and Thompson (Theorem 9.5 in [MH]) proved that for every positive integer k there exists a positive number $n(k)$ such that for every $n \geq n(k)$ there exists a unimodular positive definite quadratic form of dimension n which does not represent any integer smaller than k. Their proof uses a simple form of Siegel's mass formula and the computations are not complicated. King [Ki] proved that there are at least 10,000,000 non-equivalent even unimodular positive definite quadratic forms of dimension 32 which do not represent the number 2. King's proof is based on the general form of Siegel's mass formula and the computation are more involved and done by a computer.

We will prove Proposition 3 by combining the argument of Conway and Thomson with King's theorem. This strategy allows us to avoid tedious computations. While the the statement if Proposition 3 is far from optimal, it is suitable for our needs.

Some preparation is needed before stating Siegel's mass formula. Let f be a positive definite integral quadratic form of dimension n. For every prime p and every integer m, the p-density of f at m is defined to be

$$Df_p^{-1}(m) := \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{|\{\bar{x} \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^n \mid f(\bar{x}) = m \mod (p^k)\}|}{p^{k(n-1)}}. \tag{3}$$

For every m there exists k_0 such that if $k \geq k_0$ then the fraction inside the limit does not depend on k so the limit is a rational number. The ∞-density at a point $y > 0$ is defined to be

$$Df_\infty^{-1}(y) := \lim_{r\to 0} \frac{\mu_n(f^{-1}(B_r(y)))}{\mu(B_r(y))} \tag{4}$$

where $B_r(m)$ is the ball of radius r around y and μ and μ_n the usual Lebesgue measures on $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}^n$. (It is also possible to define the p-density in a similar manner to Equation (4) by replacing the Lebesgue measure with the normalized Haar measures on $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$. It is easily verified that this definition is equivalent to the one given by Equation (3).) The ∞-density is continuous as a function of y

and

$$\int_0^y \mathrm{D}f_\infty^{-1}(t)\mathrm{d}t = \mu_n(f^{-1}[0,y]) = \mu_n(B_{\sqrt{y}}(0)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{disc(f)}}}\omega_n y^{\frac{n}{2}} \tag{5}$$

where $\mathrm{disc}(f)$ is the discriminant of f and

$$\omega_n := \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}+1)}. \tag{6}$$

Thus, for $y > 0$,

$$\mathrm{D}f_\infty^{-1}(y) = \frac{n}{2\sqrt{\mathrm{disc(f)}}}\omega_n y^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \tag{7}$$

Note that the p-density $Df_p^{-1}(m)$ and the ∞-density $Df_\infty^{-1}(m)$ depend only on the genus of f but not on f itself.

The are finitely many equivalence classes $\mathcal{G}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{G}_r$ of quadratic forms in the genus of f. If two quadratic forms belong to the same equivalence class $\mathcal{G}_i$ then their integral orthogonal groups have the same size which we denote by $|\mathrm{O}(\mathcal{G}_i)|$. The weight of $\mathcal{G}_i$ is defined to be:

$$w_i := |\mathrm{O}(\mathcal{G}_i)|^{-1} \Big/ \sum_{j=1}^{r} |\mathrm{O}(\mathcal{G}_j)|^{-1} . \tag{8}$$

Let m be a positive integer and let $g \in \mathcal{G}_i$. The number of times that g represents m (i.e., the size of $\{v \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid g(v) = m\}$) depends only on $\mathcal{G}_i$ and is denoted by $r_i(m)$. (Since f and thus also g are positive definite $r_i(m)$ is finite.)

Siegel's mass formula expresses the weighted-average number of integral representation of an integer m by quadratic forms in the genus of f in terms of the primes and infinite densities.

Theorem (Siegel's mass formula, [Si])**.** *For every $m \neq 0$,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} w_i r_i(m) = \varepsilon \mathrm{D}f_\infty^{-1}(m) \prod_p \mathrm{D}f_p^{-1}(m) \tag{9}$$

where the product is over all the prime numbers and $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ if $n = 2$ and $\varepsilon = 1$ if $n \geq 3$.

Proof of Proposition 3. The first part of the proof is almost identical to the proof of the theorem of Conway and Thompson which was stated above (Theorem 9.5 in [MH]).

Let f be some fixed integral even positive definite quadratic forms of discriminant 2 and dimension 41 (which might represents 2). When computing the p-density of f we can regard it as a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-integral quadratic form. The p-density $\mathrm{D}_p^{-1}(m)$ does not depend on f_p itself but only on the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-equivalence class of f_p. If f is equivalent to the sum $g+h$ for some integral p-adic quadratic forms g and h, then the proof of Lemma 9.4 in Chapter 2 of [MH] implies that

$$\sup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{D}f_p^{-1}(m) \leq \sup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{D}g_p^{-1}(m). \tag{10}$$

Let $p \neq 2$ be a prime. It follows form the structure theorem of integral p-adic quadratic forms (Theorem 3.1 of Chapter 8 in [Ca]) that f (when regraded as an integral p-adic form) is equivalent to the sum of

$$g(x_1,\ldots,x_8) := x_1^2+\cdots+x_8^2 \tag{11}$$

and some other integral p-adic quadratic form. The proof of Lemma 9.4 in Chapter 2 of [MH] implies that for every prime $p \neq 2$

$$\mathrm{D}f_p^{-1}(2) \leq \sup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{D}g_p^{-1}(m) \leq \frac{1-p^{-4}}{1-p^{-3}} \tag{12}$$

so

$$\sup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \prod_{p\neq 2} \mathrm{D}f_p^{-1}(m) \leq \frac{14\zeta(3)}{15\zeta(4)} \leq \frac{11}{10}. \tag{13}$$

Assume that $p=2$. It follows form the structure theorem of integral 2-adic quadratic forms (Lemma 4.1 of Chapter 8 in [Ca]) that f (when regraded as an integral 2-adic form) is equivalent to the sum of

$$h(x_1,x_2) := 2x_1x_2 \tag{14}$$

and some other integral 2-adic quadratic form. It is easy to see that if $m\in\mathbb{Z}$ is even then $\mathrm{D}h^{-1}(m)=2$ while if $m\in\mathbb{Z}$ is odd then $\mathrm{D}h^{-1}(m)=0$. Thus,

$$\sup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{D}f_2^{-1}(m) \leq 2. \tag{15}$$

It is left to bound $\mathrm{D}f_\infty^{-1}(2)$. By Stirling's approximation

$$\omega_n \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n\pi}}\left(\frac{2\pi e}{n}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \tag{16}$$

Equations (4) and (6) imply that for $n = 41$

$$\mathrm{D}f_\infty^{-1}(2) = \frac{n}{2\sqrt{\mathrm{disc(f)}}}\omega_n y^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \leq \sqrt{2^{n-5}\cdot\frac{n}{\pi}\cdot\left(\frac{2\pi e}{n}\right)^n} \leq \frac{1}{50}. \tag{17}$$

Equations (13), (15) and (17) imply

$$\mathrm{D}f_\infty^{-1}(2)\prod_p \mathrm{D}f_p^{-1}(2) \leq \frac{1}{20}. \tag{18}$$

Let $g_1, \ldots, g_k$ be representatives for the equivalence classes of even unimodular positive definite quadratic forms of dimension 32 which do no represents 2. King [Ki] proved that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} |\mathrm{O}(g_i, \mathbb{Z})|^{-1} = \frac{10968923}{2}. \tag{19}$$

Let e_8 be the unique (up to equivalence) even unimodular positive definite quadratic form of dimension 8 (e_8 corresponds to the root system E_8). For every $1 \leq i \leq k$ define

$$f_i(x_1, \ldots, x_{41}) = g_i(x_1, \ldots, x_{32}) + e_8(x_{33}, \ldots, x_{40}) + 2x_{41}^2. \tag{20}$$

Each f_i is an even integral positive definite quadratic form of discriminant 2 and dimension 41. Since there exists a unique genus of even umimodular positive definite quadratic forms of dimension 32 all the f_i's belong to the same genus. Recall that an integral quadratic form is called indecomposable if it not equivalent to the sum of two integral quadratic forms of positive dimensions. For example, the g_i's are indecomposable since every even unimodular quadratic forms of dimension smaller than 24 represents the number 2. It is clear that every quadratic forms is equivalent to the sum of indecomposable quadratic forms. A theorem of Eichler (Theorem 6.4 of Chapter 2 in [MH]) states the if f is positive definite, then the number of times each equivalence class of indecomposable quadratic forms appears in the decomposition of f is uniquely determined. Thus, if $1 \leq i \neq j \leq k$, then f_i and f_j are not

equivalent. Moreover, e_8 is indecomposable so the integral orthogonal group of f_i is isomorphic to the direct product of the integral orthogonal groups of g_i, e_8 and $2x$. Since $|\mathrm{O}(e_8, \mathbb{Z})| = 696729600$

$$M_1 := \sum_{i=1}^{k} |\mathrm{O}(f_i, \mathbb{Z})|^{-1} = \frac{10968923}{4|\mathrm{O}(e_8, \mathbb{Z})|} \geq \frac{3}{1000}. \tag{21}$$

Complete $f_1, \ldots, f_k$ to a representative set $f_1, \ldots, f_{k+t+s}$ of the equivalence classes in the genus of f_1 and assume that all the forms $f_{k+1}, \ldots, f_{k+t}$ represent the number 2 and all the forms $f_{k+t+1}, \ldots, f_{k+t+s}$ do not represent the number 2. Our goal is to show that $s \geq 3$.

Define

$$M_2 := \sum_{i=k+1}^{k+t} |\mathrm{O}(f_i, \mathbb{Z})|^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad M_3 := \sum_{i=k+t+1}^{k+t+s} |\mathrm{O}(f_i, \mathbb{Z})|^{-1} \tag{22}$$

The number of times e_8 represents the number 2 is 240. Siegel's mass formula together with Equation (18) imply that

$$\frac{242M_1 + 2M_2}{M_1 + M_2 + M_3} \leq \frac{1}{20}. \tag{23}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{7}{10} \leq 241M_1 \leq \frac{1}{20}M_3 \leq \frac{s}{40} \tag{24}$$

and $s \geq 28$. The proof of Proposition 3 is now complete.

References

[Bo1] R. Borcherds, *The Leech lattice and other lattices.* Ph.D. Thesis, Cambridge, (1985). 114 pp.

[Bo2] R. Borcherds, *Automorphism groups of Lorentzian lattices.* J. Algebra **111** (1987), no. 1, 133–153.

[Br] R. Brooks, *The bottom of the spectrum of a Riemannian covering.* J. Reine Angew. Math. **357** (1985), 101–114.

[Ca] J.W.S. Cassels, *Rational quadratic forms.* London Mathematical Society Monographs, **13**. Academic Press, Inc. London-New York, (1978). xvi+413 pp.

[Co] J.H. Conway, *The automorphism group of the 26-dimensional even unimodular Lorentzian lattice.* J. Algebra **80** (1983), no. 1, 159–163.

[Do] I. Dolgachev, *Reflection groups in algebraic geometry.* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **45** (2008), no. 1, 1–60.

[Ki] O.D. King, *A mass formula for unimodular lattices with no roots.* Math. Comp. **72** , no. 242, 839–863 (2003) (electronic).

[MH] J. Milnor and D. Husemoller, *Symmetric bilinear forms.* Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band **73**. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, (1973). viii+147 pp.

[Si] C.L. Siegel, *Gesammelte Abhandlungen I.* (German) Herausgegeben von K. Chandrasekharan und H. Maass., Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York (1966) v+548 pp.

[Vi1] E.B. Vinberg, *On groups of unit elements of certain quadratic forms.* Math. USSR Sbornik **16**, No.1, pp. 17–35 (1972)

[Vi2] E.B. Vinberg, *The groups of units of certain quadratic forms.* (Russian) Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **87 (129)** (1972), 18–36.

[Vi3] E.B. Vinberg, *The absence of crystallographic reflection groups in Lobachevsky spaces of large dimension.* Trudy Moscow. Mat. Obs. **47** (1984), 68–102.

Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, 5734 S. University Avenue, Chicago, Illinois, USA, 60637

E-mail address: chenmeiri@math.uchicago.edu